EXPLAINING DEVIATIONS FROM SONORITY PREFERENCES IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF RHOTICS IN CATALAN

20th Old World Conference in Phonology, 25-27 January 2023, University of Tours

Jesús Jiménez Universitat de València jesus.jimenez@uv.es

Maria-Rosa Lloret Universitat de Barcelona mrosa.lloret@ub.edu

SUMMARY: a) Catalan contrasts two rhotics, but only in intervocalic position: the alveolar tap [r] and the alveolar trill [r]:

pa[r]a 'stop.IMP' pa[r]a 'grapevine'

b) The contrast is **neutralized** in all other positions:

• in some contexts without variation: [r]oca 'rock', pre[r]omà 'pre-Roman', hon[r]a 'honor'

a[r]c ~ a[r]c 'arch', co[r] ~ co[r] 'heart' • in other contexts with variation:

GOALS: 1. To analyze the distribution of rhotics in 3 Catalan dialects: Algherese (Alghero, Sardinia), Central Catalan (eastern Catalonia) and Valencian.

2. To show that the distribution of taps and trills is predictable from constraints related to their sonority level and their position in the syllable & that any deviation from the expected pattern derives from the action of other families of constraints (Jiménez & Lloret, in press).

1. THEORETICAL ASSUMPTIONS

➤ Underlying representations:

- ✓ Intervocalic trills: lexically marked, as /r/ (under richness of the base, other options are possible).
- ✓ All other rhotics: /R/, underspecified for the trill-tap distinction.

>Sonority level of the liquids in Catalan: the trill [r] is considered less sonorous than the tap [r], with the lateral [l] somewhere in between (see, e.g., Bonet & Mascaró 1997, Parker 2002: 233, 2011: 1177; Pons-Moll 2008, 2011). Assumed sonority hierarchy for liquids:

✓ Tap [r] > Lateral [l] > Trill [r]

>The marked status of the rhotics is relative, depending on their syllabic position. To understand their preferences, we follow the Split Margin approach to syllable organization (Baertsch 2002; Baertsch & Davis 2003; Davis & Baertsch 2011): margins can be divided into two categories:

- ✓ Margin 1 (M1: a singleton onset, the first element of a complex onset and the second element of a complex coda): **less sonorous elements** preferred:
- *M1_{Tap} >> *M1_{Lateral} >> *M1_{Trill} ✓ Margin 2 (M2: a singleton coda, the second element of a complex onset and the first element of a complex coda): more sonorous elements preferred: *M2_{Trill} >> *M2_{Lateral} >> *M2_{Tap}
- ✓ Furthermore, in intervocalic M1 there is also a cross-linguistic preference for more sonorous elements as well (see, e.g., Uffmann 2007, and for Catalan, Pons-Moll 2011):

*VM1V_{Trill} >> *VM1V_{Lateral} >> *VM1V_{Tap}

2. CONTEXTS WITHOUT VARIATION: GENERAL M1

- ➤ General facts about the distribution of rhotics in M1: The trill [r] is almost the exclusive solution, due to different conditionings:
- >Rhotics in the first position of an onset (M1) are generally realized as [r], given the preference for trills in M1. Driving force: Sonority-related constraints (*M1_{Tap} >> *M1_{Trill}).

hon[r]a 'honor' [r]oca 'rock'

- ➤ Root-initial rhotics (M1) are always maintained as [r], even intervocalically, due to uniformity effects, stronger at the left edge of the root. Driving force: OO-Faithfulness(left).
- ➤ Underlying intervocalic trills surface as [r] in all dialects. Driving force: IO-Faithfulness.

des[r]romanització 'deromanization'

pa[r]a 'grapevine'

pre[r]omà 'pre-Roman'

4. THE PUZZLE OF LIQUID NEUTRALIZATION IN ALGHERESE

- ➤ Algherese presents liquid neutralization in 3 contexts in which more sonorous elements are preferred: intervocalically, in the second position of an onset and in internal preconsonantal codas.
 - ✓ Intervocalically & in the second position of an onset, the outcome of neutralization is a tap [r]. This result is just another instance of sonority adaptation to the syllable margins: a tap [r] is more harmonic than a lateral [l]. (Torres-Tamarit et al. 2012). Driving force: sonority-related constraints (*VM1V_a & *M2_a rankings).

ma[r]a 'bad.F' (cf. ma[l] 'bad.M') p[r]at 'dish' (but also p[r]at) (cf. general Catalan p[l]at)

✓ Preconsonantally, liquids are neutralized as a lateral [I]. Although a tap [r] is preferred in M2, this outcome is altogether banned from preconsonantal codas in Algherese. Hence, the second-best segment in terms of sonority, that is, a lateral [I], is selected. Driving forces: sonority-related constraints (& contextually-marked constraints): a lateral [I] is more harmonic than a trill [r]:

mo[l]ta 'dead.F'

mo[r] 'I die'

5. CONCLUSIONS

- >Predictable variability: Catalan dialects provide rich evidence for the variability of rhotics. The attested variation is far from random: there is an inclusive relationship between the contexts in which trills can appear, in the order **Valencian ⊂ Central Catalan ⊂ Algherese**.
- >Adaptation to the syllable margins: The realization of rhotics in Catalan mainly stems from sonority-related segmental preferences in the syllable margins, with trills generally preferred in M1 and taps preferred in M2 and in intervocalic M1.
- >Additional constraints: Leaving aside the intervocalic contrasting trills (for which some kind of underlying specification is needed in all dialects), any deviation from these tendencies derives from uniformity, contextually-marked or prominence-driven constraints taking precedence over sonority conditions.

3. CONTEXTS WITH DIALECTAL VARIATION

- **▶ General facts** about the distribution of **rhotics in intervocalic M1 & all M2**:
 - ✓ Always realized as a tap [r] in Valencian, following the requirements of sonorityrelated constraints (*VM1V_a & *M2_a rankings):

a[rk] 'arch' he[rβ]a 'grass' co[r] obert 'open heart' co[r] 'heart' Sasse[r] 'Sassari' **t[r]enta** '30'

- ✓ Emergence of trills: Central Catalan presents a trill [r] in some contexts in which Valencian exhibits a tap; Algherese enlarges even more the environments in which a trill [r] may appear → alternative constraints are at play.
- ✓ Hence, there is an inclusive relationship between dialects: e.g., for the thrill: Valencian [r] ⊂ Central Catalan [r] ⊂ Algherese [r]
- **▶4 contexts with a possible trill [r] in Central Catalan & Algherese ([r]** in Valencian):
- ▶Preconsonantal codas (Central Catalan [r] ⊂ Algherese [r]):
 - ✓ With a trill [r] in Central Catalan, except when C2 is an approximant. Driving force: contextually-marked constraint demanding the coincidence in the value of the [±continuant] feature, based on general coarticulatory phonetic conditions, presumably universal (Recasens 1993: 178):

a[rk] 'arch' he[rβ]a 'grass'

✓ **Algherese**: since /b, d, g/ display stop allophones, all preconsonantal rhotics that are not neutralized as a lateral (see § 4) tend to be realized as trills in this context:

a[rk] 'arch' go[rg]onzola 'gorgonzola cheese'

Final rhotics (Central Catalan [r] ⊂ Algherese [r]):

✓ Final position is regarded as intermediate in terms of prominence (Barnes 2008, Kaplan 2015). Typically, prominent positions tend to attract features that are more salient, stronger. Driving force: alignment of segmental prominence & positional prominence. Hence, trills can be preferred in that position, just in especially strong syllables (stressed syllables: Central Catalan)...

Sasse[r] 'Sassari' co[r] 'heart'

✓ ...or in all final syllables, without prosodic limitations (Algherese):

co[r] 'heart' Sasse[r] 'Sassari'

- ➤ Resyllabified final rhotics, intervocalically (only Algherese):
 - ✓ Realized as taps in **general Catalan.** However, in **Algherese** they surface as trills due to the activation of uniformity effects referred to the right edge of the root. Driving force: OO-Faithfulness(right). (In § 2 we saw that OO-Faithfulness(left) active in all dialects)

Central Catalan:

co[r] 'heart' co[r] obert 'open heart' Algherese: co[r] 'heart' co[r] obert 'open heart'

▶ Second position of and onset (only Algherese):

✓ The emergence of a tap [r] is in line with the preference for more sonorous segments in M2. The trilled pronunciation in **Algherese** is possibly an overgeneralization of the realization of rhotics in other non-contrastive contexts. Driving force: Consistency Rhotic: "A rhotic always has the same output":

Central Catalan: t[r]enta '30'

t[r]enta ~ t[r]enta '30' **Algherese:**

REFERENCES

■ Baertsch, K. (2002). An optimality theoretic approach to syllable structure: The split margin hierarchy. Indiana U dissertation. Baertsch, K. & Davis, S. (2003). The split margin approach to syllable structure. ZAS papers in Linguistics, 32, 1–14. ● Barnes, J. (2008). Strength and weakness at the interface, positional neutralization in phonetics and phonology. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.● Bonet, E. & Mascaró, J. (1997). On the representation of contrasting rhotics. In F. Martínez Gil & A. Morales Front (eds.), Issues in the phonology and morphology of the major Iberian languages, 103–126. Washington: Georgetown U Press. ● Davis, S. & Baertsch, K. (2011). On the relationship between codas and onset clusters. In C. E. Cairns & E. Raimy (eds.), Handbook of the syllable, 71–97. Leiden/Boston: Brill. ● Jiménez, J. & Lloret, M.-R. (in press). The behavior of rhotics in Catalan: Prominence relations, sonority effects and surface correspondences. In E. Pustka, E.-M. Remberger & F. Sanchez-Miret (eds.), R in Romance: System, Variation and Change. Brill. ● Kaplan, A. (2015). Maximal prominence and a theory of possible licensors. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory, 33, 1235–1270. ● Parker, S. G. (2002). Quantifying the sonority hierarchy. Amherst: U of Massachusetts dissertation. Distributed by GLSA. ● Parker, S. G. (2011). Sonority. In M. van Oostendorp, C. J. Ewen, E. Hume & K. Rice (eds.), The Blackwell companion to phonology, 1160–184. Oxford: Blackwell. ● Pons-Moll, C. (2008). Regarding the sonority of liquids. Some evidence from Romance. 38th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages, U of Illinois, April 2008. ● Pons-Moll, C. (2011). It is all downhill from here: a typological study of the role of syllable contact in Romance languages. Probus, 23, 105–173. ● Recasens, D. (1993). *Fonètica i fonologia*. Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana. ● Torres-Tamarit, F., Pons-Moll, C. & Cabrera-Callís, M. (2012). Rhotic metathesis in Algherese Catalan: a Harmonic Serialism account. In K. Geeslin & M. Díaz-Campos (eds.), Selected Proceedings of the 14th Hispanic Linguistics Symposium, 354–364. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project. ● Uffmann, C. (2007). Intrusive [r] and optimal epenthetic consonants. Language Sciences, 29, 451–476.



This work is part of the research project I+D+i/PID2020-113971GB-C21, funded by the Spanish MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033. It is also supported by the Catalan Government (2021SGR01084) and Universitat de València (GIUV2013-137)

Poster available at http://www.ub.edu/GEVAD